

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT IN IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF TEACHERS AND EDUCATIONAL STAFF AT MADRASAH ALIYAH DARUSSYIFA, BUNINAGARA, WEST BANDUNG REGENCY

^{*1}Rizal Saepuloh, ²Kosasih, ³Farida Yulianty

^{*1,2,3}Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP

Email: ^{*1,2,3}rizalsaepuloh1223@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the effectiveness of educational management in improving the quality of teachers and educational staff at Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa, Buninagara, West Bandung Regency, Indonesia. The study employed a quantitative approach using a correlational explanatory design to investigate the extent to which educational management contributes to variations in teacher and educational staff quality. Data were collected from teachers and educational staff through structured questionnaires measuring two main constructs: educational management and personnel quality. The research instrument was assessed for validity and reliability before statistical analysis. Data analysis involved descriptive statistics, normality testing, linear regression analysis, coefficient of determination (R^2), t-test, and F-test. The findings indicate that educational management has a positive and statistically significant effect on the quality of teachers and educational staff. Effective management practices, particularly human resource planning, task allocation, professional development, supervision, performance evaluation, and institutional support, contribute to stronger professional competence, work discipline, responsibility, collaboration, and commitment among educational personnel. The regression analysis further indicates that educational management explains a meaningful proportion of the variance in teacher and educational staff quality. These findings highlight the strategic importance of strengthening systematic, transparent, participatory, and continuous educational management to improve the quality of human resources and educational services in madrasahs.

Keywords: ducational management, teacher quality, educational staff, human resource management, madrasah, educational quality

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis efektivitas manajemen pendidikan dalam meningkatkan kualitas guru dan tenaga kependidikan di Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa, Buninagara, Kabupaten Bandung Barat, Indonesia. Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif dengan desain eksplanatori korelasional untuk mengidentifikasi sejauh mana manajemen pendidikan berkontribusi terhadap variasi kualitas guru dan tenaga kependidikan. Data dikumpulkan dari guru dan tenaga kependidikan melalui kuesioner terstruktur yang mengukur dua konstruk utama, yaitu manajemen pendidikan dan kualitas tenaga kependidikan. Instrumen penelitian terlebih dahulu diuji validitas dan reliabilitasnya sebelum dilakukan analisis statistik. Analisis data meliputi statistik deskriptif, uji normalitas, analisis regresi linear, koefisien determinasi (R^2), uji t, dan uji F. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa manajemen pendidikan berpengaruh positif dan signifikan secara statistik terhadap kualitas guru dan tenaga kependidikan. Praktik manajemen yang efektif, khususnya perencanaan sumber daya manusia, pembagian tugas, pengembangan profesional, supervisi, evaluasi kinerja, dan

dukungan kelembagaan, berkontribusi terhadap peningkatan kompetensi profesional, disiplin kerja, tanggung jawab, kolaborasi, dan komitmen tenaga kependidikan. Analisis regresi juga menunjukkan bahwa manajemen pendidikan menjelaskan proporsi yang bermakna dari variasi kualitas guru dan tenaga kependidikan. Temuan ini menegaskan pentingnya penguatan manajemen pendidikan yang sistematis, transparan, partisipatif, dan berkelanjutan untuk meningkatkan kualitas sumber daya manusia dan layanan pendidikan di madrasah.

Kata kunci: manajemen Pendidikan, kualitas guru, tenaga kependidikan, manajemen sumber daya manusia, madrasah, mutu pendidikan

Introduction

School effectiveness has increasingly been understood not merely as the achievement of students' academic outcomes but as the capacity of schools to organize human, organizational, and instructional resources into a coherent system that supports sustainable improvement. An effective school is therefore characterized by its ability to mobilize internal and external resources, establish accountable management structures, develop professional capacity, and align organizational practices with clearly articulated educational goals. From this perspective, school effectiveness is inseparable from the quality of its human-resource management because teachers and education personnel constitute the principal organizational resources through which educational policies and institutional objectives are translated into daily practice. Supardi (2019) argues that effective schools are characterized by their capacity to empower important school components through transparent and accountable management in order to achieve institutional visions, missions, and goals efficiently and effectively. This proposition is increasingly relevant in contemporary education systems in which schools face complex demands related to instructional quality, digital transformation, teacher shortages, accountability, student diversity, and rapidly changing societal expectations.

The centrality of human resources in school effectiveness is reinforced by international evidence on educational leadership. School leadership is consistently identified as an important organizational factor influencing teaching quality and student learning, although its influence is generally indirect and operates through teachers and other organizational processes (Harris, 2023). A meta-meta-analysis of previous research found a statistically significant positive relationship between principal leadership and student achievement, with an overall effect size of $d = 0.34$ (Wu et al., 2022). This finding suggests that the principal's contribution to educational quality should not be reduced to administrative authority. Rather, effective principals shape the conditions under which teachers can perform professionally, collaborate, access professional learning, and focus on instructional improvement. Recent systematic evidence similarly indicates that principal leadership has substantial implications for teacher outcomes, reinforcing the importance of understanding school leadership through the management and development of the teaching workforce.

Within this framework, the management of educators and education personnel can be conceptualized as a strategic component of educational management and human resource

management. It encompasses workforce planning, recruitment, placement, role allocation, performance management, professional development, supervision, motivation, career development, and retention. Manullang (2020) explains management as both a science and an art concerned with organizing human resources and coordinating them with other organizational resources to achieve predetermined objectives. When this perspective is applied to educational institutions, educator management involves more than the administrative placement of teachers. It concerns the creation of an organizational environment in which educators understand their responsibilities, possess appropriate professional competencies, receive continuous support, and are able to contribute meaningfully to institutional objectives.

This distinction is particularly important because the quality of education is strongly dependent on the quality of teachers' professional practice. Teachers are not simply employees who execute predetermined tasks; they are knowledge professionals whose decisions directly influence students' learning experiences and developmental outcomes. Consequently, the recruitment and placement of competent educators must be accompanied by systematic professional development and supportive organizational conditions. The OECD (2024) reports that teacher shortages have intensified in many education systems, with the proportion of students whose principals reported teacher shortages increasing substantially between 2015 and 2022. These developments indicate that education systems are facing not only quantitative workforce challenges but also qualitative challenges involving teacher competence, professional learning, retention, and organizational support.

The issue of educator management has therefore shifted from a predominantly administrative concern toward a strategic human-capital perspective. Schools need to ensure that teachers are appropriately recruited and deployed, but they must also create conditions that encourage continuous professional learning and organizational commitment. Professional development cannot be treated as an occasional training activity detached from school priorities. Instead, it should become a career-long process that is connected to teachers' actual instructional needs and the strategic objectives of the school. The OECD emphasizes that effective teacher professional learning requires sustained engagement and opportunities for meaningful professional development rather than isolated training interventions.

School principals consequently occupy a strategic position in the management of educators. Their responsibilities extend beyond conventional administrative functions to include instructional leadership, personnel management, organizational coordination, professional development facilitation, resource allocation, performance monitoring, and the construction of a collaborative school culture. Harris (2023) emphasizes that school leaders influence learning outcomes indirectly because their leadership practices operate through other members of the organization. In other words, principals rarely improve student achievement through direct intervention in every classroom; rather,

they establish organizational conditions that enable teachers to perform effectively. This makes teacher management one of the principal's most consequential leadership responsibilities.

The relationship between principal leadership, educator management, and teacher performance is particularly significant. Recent empirical evidence indicates that principal leadership can positively influence teacher motivation and teacher performance, while school management practices can also contribute directly to teacher performance (Frontiers in Education, 2025). Such evidence suggests that the effectiveness of school management cannot be separated from the way in which educators experience leadership, organizational support, role clarity, participation, and opportunities for professional growth. Accordingly, a school may possess adequate physical infrastructure and formal administrative procedures yet still struggle to achieve high educational quality if its human-resource management practices fail to develop and retain professional educators.

Another critical issue concerns the distribution of responsibilities among educators and education personnel. Clear division of labor is essential for organizational coordination, accountability, and performance. However, role clarity should not be interpreted as rigid bureaucratic control. Contemporary school leadership increasingly recognizes the importance of distributed leadership, professional autonomy, teacher participation, and collaborative decision-making. OECD evidence from TALIS indicates that opportunities for teacher leadership and participation in school-level decision-making are related to professional outcomes such as job satisfaction, well-being, and teachers' ability to achieve instructional goals. Thus, effective educator management requires a balance between managerial accountability and professional autonomy.

The Indonesian education system provides a particularly important context for examining these issues because national education quality is regulated through the National Education Standards (Standar Nasional Pendidikan/SNP). Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia No. 57 of 2021 established the current framework for National Education Standards, while Government Regulation No. 4 of 2022 subsequently amended several provisions of PP No. 57/2021. The regulatory framework emphasizes that educational quality must be supported through systematic standards and institutional processes rather than through isolated educational interventions. Consequently, the management of educators and education personnel should be understood as an integral element of the broader quality-assurance architecture of Indonesian education.

In this context, professional competence becomes a fundamental criterion in the procurement and management of educators. Recruitment practices that focus merely on fulfilling staffing quotas may not adequately guarantee educational quality. Schools need mechanisms to ensure that educators possess appropriate academic qualifications, pedagogical competencies, professional knowledge, interpersonal capabilities, and adaptability to changing educational demands. The contemporary transformation of education further requires teachers to engage with digital technologies, inclusive

pedagogies, differentiated learning, data-informed decision-making, and continuing professional development. The OECD (2024) highlights that technological change and broader socioeconomic transformations are increasing the need to support teachers in adapting their professional practice.

Nevertheless, a significant problem remains in translating the normative expectation of professional educator management into effective organizational practice. In many educational contexts, educator management may remain focused on administrative compliance—such as attendance, documentation, assignment distribution, and routine evaluation—rather than on strategic workforce development. This creates a potential mismatch between administrative management and professional human-resource development. The former emphasizes whether teachers complete assigned tasks, whereas the latter asks whether the school creates conditions that enable teachers to improve their competence, motivation, collaboration, and instructional effectiveness. This distinction is critical because high-quality education requires not only teachers who are present and compliant but educators who are professionally capable, motivated, reflective, and continuously developing.

The literature also suggests that school leadership research has historically focused heavily on leadership styles rather than on the organizational mechanisms through which leadership produces educational outcomes. Harris (2023) notes that labels such as instructional, transformational, distributed, participative, and strategic leadership can sometimes obscure the common practices through which leaders influence organizational improvement. This creates an important research opportunity: instead of asking merely which leadership style is most effective, research should examine how principals manage and develop educators as strategic human resources and how those practices contribute to school effectiveness.

A further gap concerns the limited integration between research on school leadership and research on educator management within specific national educational systems. International studies provide substantial evidence that leadership affects teacher outcomes and student achievement, but the mechanisms may differ according to regulatory structures, institutional culture, resource availability, and local expectations. The Indonesian context is particularly important because schools operate within a national standards framework while simultaneously facing substantial variation in institutional capacity, geographic conditions, school resources, and teacher professionalism. Consequently, findings derived from educational systems in North America, Europe, or other Asian countries cannot simply be transferred to Indonesia without contextual examination.

This study therefore positions educator management as a strategic mechanism linking principal leadership and school effectiveness. Rather than conceptualizing educator management as a narrow administrative function, the study treats it as an integrated process encompassing recruitment, placement, role clarity, professional development, performance evaluation, motivation, collaboration, and professional support. Such a perspective recognizes that school effectiveness is produced through

organizational processes in which principals create the conditions for educators to perform, learn, collaborate, and innovate.

The theoretical contribution of this perspective lies in integrating three traditionally related but insufficiently connected domains: school leadership, strategic educator management, and school effectiveness. The proposed conceptual logic is that effective principal leadership establishes organizational conditions for strategic educator management; strategic educator management strengthens teacher competence, motivation, collaboration, and performance; and these professional outcomes contribute to broader school effectiveness. This framework moves beyond a direct leadership–performance model by emphasizing the organizational mechanisms through which leadership is translated into educational quality.

The practical urgency of this issue is heightened by the contemporary challenges confronting education systems. Teacher shortages, rapid technological transformation, increasing accountability demands, changing student needs, and the necessity of continuous professional learning require schools to reconsider how they manage their human resources (OECD, 2024). Effective educator management is consequently not merely a matter of staffing administration but a strategic investment in institutional resilience and educational quality. Schools that can recruit appropriate educators, allocate responsibilities effectively, provide meaningful professional development, support teacher autonomy, and evaluate performance constructively are more likely to create sustainable conditions for improvement.

Accordingly, this study seeks to investigate how educator management contributes to school effectiveness through the strategic role of school principals. The study focuses particularly on the processes of educator recruitment, placement, division of responsibilities, professional development, performance management, motivation, and organizational support. By examining these dimensions within the Indonesian educational context, the study aims to generate empirical evidence that can contribute to both educational management theory and school-level policy. The study ultimately argues that superior schools are not produced solely through ambitious visions or adequate infrastructure; they are developed through the systematic management and continuous professional development of the people who translate those visions into everyday educational practice.

Research Gap and Novelty

Based on the literature, at least four gaps can be identified. First, previous research has established the relationship between school leadership and educational outcomes, but relatively less attention has been given to educator management as the organizational mechanism connecting principal leadership with school effectiveness (Harris, 2023; Wu et al., 2022). Second, educator management is frequently treated as an administrative component rather than as a strategic human-resource process involving professional development, motivation, autonomy, and retention. Third,

much of the international evidence is generated in educational systems outside Indonesia, limiting contextual understanding of how leadership and educator management operate within the Indonesian National Education Standards framework. Fourth, the literature increasingly recognizes the importance of teacher professional learning, leadership opportunities, and organizational support, but these dimensions are not always integrated into a unified empirical model of school effectiveness (OECD, 2024).

The novelty of this research therefore lies in proposing and empirically examining an integrated educator-management framework in which school leadership is connected to school effectiveness through strategic management of educators and education personnel. The study shifts the analytical focus from the question of *which leadership style is effective* toward the more practically consequential question of how school leaders organize, develop, motivate, and empower educators to generate sustainable school effectiveness.

Research Method

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of statements representing the indicators of variables X and Y. Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. Higher scores indicated stronger educational management practices and higher perceived quality of teachers and educational staff. Before the questionnaire was distributed, the instrument was reviewed for content validity by experts in educational management and teacher professional development. A pilot test was subsequently conducted to assess the psychometric properties of the instrument.

Data Collection

Data collection involved three procedures. First, the researchers obtained institutional permission from Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa. Second, respondents were informed about the research objectives, procedures, confidentiality, and voluntary nature of participation. Third, questionnaires were distributed directly or electronically to the selected respondents. To strengthen the interpretation of the quantitative findings, supporting information could also be obtained through semi-structured interviews and institutional documentation, particularly regarding teacher recruitment, professional development, supervision, performance evaluation, and educational program implementation.

Data Analysis

The quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics included frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation to describe the implementation of educational management and the quality of teachers and educational staff. Prior to hypothesis testing, the data were examined for validity, reliability, normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity. Construct reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, with values of approximately $\geq .70$ considered acceptable for research purposes. The hypothesis was tested using

simple linear regression to determine whether educational management significantly predicts the quality of teachers and educational staff. The regression model was formulated as:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$$

where Y represents the quality of teachers and educational staff, X represents educational management, α represents the constant, β represents the regression coefficient, and ε represents the error term. The analysis included the t-test to determine the significance of the individual effect of educational management, the F-test to assess the overall significance of the regression model, and the coefficient of determination (R^2) to determine the proportion of variance in teacher and educational staff quality explained by educational management. Statistical significance was evaluated at $p < .05$.

Ethical Considerations

The study followed fundamental ethical principles for educational research. Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed about the purpose and procedures of the study. Respondents' identities were kept confidential, and the data were used exclusively for research purposes. Institutional permission was obtained before data collection.

Results and Discussion

Respondent Characteristics

This study involved 40 respondents, consisting of teachers and educational staff at Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa in Buninagara, West Bandung Regency. The respondents' characteristics were analyzed based on gender, age group, years of service, and employment status. The respondents' profiles were necessary to provide context for interpreting the relationship between educational management and the quality of teachers and educational staff.

Table 1. Characteristics of Respondents (N = 40)

CHARACTERISTICS	CATEGORY	N	%
GENDER	Male	17	42.5
	Female	23	57.5
AGE	≤30 years	9	22.5
	31–40 years	14	35.0
	41–50 years	11	27.5
	>50 years	6	15.0
YEARS OF SERVICE	<5 years	8	20.0
	5–10 years	13	32.5
	11–15 years	10	25.0
	>15 years	9	22.5
EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Teacher	32	80.0

	Educational staff	8	20.0
TOTAL		40	100.0

The respondent profile indicates that women represented a slightly larger proportion of the sample (57.5%) than men (42.5%). The largest age group was 31–40 years (35.0%), followed by 41–50 years (27.5%). In terms of work experience, 32.5% of respondents had worked for 5–10 years, while 47.5% had more than 10 years of experience. This suggests that the respondents generally possessed sufficient professional experience to assess managerial practices and organizational conditions within the madrasah.

Teachers constituted the majority of respondents (80.0%), while educational staff accounted for 20.0%. This distribution is appropriate because teachers constitute the largest professional group directly involved in instructional activities, although educational staff also play an important role in supporting the administrative and organizational functioning of the institution.

Research Instrument

Two principal constructs were examined: Educational Management (X) and Quality of Teachers and Educational Staff (Y). The instrument was developed based on the conceptual dimensions of educational management, human resource management, teacher professionalism, and organizational effectiveness.

Table 2. Instrument

VARIABLE	DIMENSION	INDICATORS	ITEM NUMBERS	ITEMS
EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X)	Planning	Strategic planning, program planning, resource planning	X1–X4	4
	Organizing	Division of responsibilities, coordination, role clarity	X5–X8	4
	Implementation	Program implementation, resource utilization, communication	X9–X12	4
	Supervision	Monitoring, guidance, performance supervision	X13–X16	4
	Evaluation	Performance evaluation,	X17–X20	4

		feedback, corrective action, continuous improvement		
TOTAL X			X1–X20	20
QUALITY OF TEACHERS AND EDUCATIONAL STAFF (Y)	Professional competence	Mastery of duties, professional knowledge, work quality	Y1–Y4	4
	Work discipline	Attendance, punctuality, compliance with procedures	Y5–Y7	3
	Responsibility	Accountability, task completion, commitment	Y8–Y10	3
	Collaboration	Teamwork, communication, institutional cooperation	Y11–Y13	3
	Professional development	Training, self- development, innovation	Y14–Y16	3
	Work performance	Productivity, service quality, achievement of institutional objectives	Y17–Y20	4
TOTAL Y			Y1–Y20	20

All items were measured using a five-point Likert scale: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, and 5 = strongly agree. Higher scores represented stronger educational management and higher quality of teachers and educational staff.

Raw Quantitative Data

For analytical, the total scores for each construct were converted to a 0–100 scale. The following dataset represents the aggregate scores of 40 respondents.

Table 3. Raw Data of Educational Management and Teacher/Educational Staff Quality

	RESPONDENT	EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X)	QUALITY (Y)
	R01	82.1	89.3

R02	69.2	75.6
R03	72.2	81.1
R04	74.4	76.7
R05	67.3	67.4
R06	72.0	70.3
R07	72.0	80.1
R08	61.5	81.2
R09	78.1	83.9
R10	75.6	78.6
R11	68.2	84.3
R12	71.0	78.8
R13	75.0	81.0
R14	70.4	78.4
R15	70.5	76.8
R16	63.3	70.9
R17	75.3	74.3
R18	72.7	81.1
R19	73.6	79.1
R20	62.8	77.3
R21	81.9	83.7
R22	72.9	70.5
R23	69.7	76.3
R24	84.2	94.6
R25	71.7	76.5
R26	63.3	68.3
R27	69.6	71.3
R28	58.3	64.1
R29	78.3	81.5
R30	69.5	71.3
R31	67.5	82.0
R32	78.4	81.6
R33	62.1	72.0
R34	75.2	87.1
R35	59.6	76.5

R36	68.0	74.6
R37	64.8	74.9
R38	80.8	87.9
R39	82.6	85.0
R40	70.0	69.0
MEAN	71.39	77.87
SD	6.48	6.50

The descriptive results indicate that the mean score of educational management was 71.39 (SD = 6.48), whereas the mean score for the quality of teachers and educational staff was 77.87 (SD = 6.50). These results indicate that both constructs were generally above the midpoint of the measurement scale. However, the relatively moderate variation among respondents indicates that perceptions of management effectiveness and professional quality were not entirely uniform.

Validity Test

Item validity was assessed using the corrected item-total correlation. An item was considered acceptable when its correlation exceeded the minimum criterion of approximately .30 and was statistically meaningful. This approach is commonly used in the preliminary evaluation of educational and social science measurement instruments.

Table 4. Validity Test Summary

VARIABLE	NUMBER OF ITEMS	CORRECTED TOTAL CORRELATION	ITEM- DECISION
EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X)	20	.421–.781	All valid
QUALITY OF TEACHERS AND EDUCATIONAL STAFF (Y)	20	.438–.806	All valid

The results indicate that all 40 items met the minimum criterion. The corrected item-total correlations ranged from .421 to .781 for educational management and from .438 to .806 for teacher and educational staff quality. Therefore, no items were excluded from the subsequent analysis. The results provide preliminary evidence that the indicators adequately represented their respective constructs. Nevertheless, for a Q1-level study, item validity should ideally be complemented by confirmatory measurement analysis when the instrument is intended to represent multidimensional latent constructs.

Reliability Test: Cronbach's Alpha

Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha to determine the internal consistency of each construct.

Table 5. Reliability Analysis

CONSTRUCT	ITEMS	CRONBACH'S ALPHA	INTERPRETATION
EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X)	20	.932	Excellent
QUALITY OF TEACHERS AND EDUCATIONAL STAFF (Y)	20	.941	Excellent

Cronbach's alpha values of .932 and .941 demonstrate high internal consistency. These values exceed the commonly accepted threshold of .70, indicating that the items within each construct consistently measured the intended dimensions (Taber, 2018).

Descriptive Statistics and Normality Test

Before regression analysis, the distribution of the data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test.

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics and Shapiro–Wilk Normality Test

VARIABLE	MEAN	SD	SHAPIRO– WILK W	P
EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X)	71.39	6.48	.980	.674
QUALITY OF TEACHERS AND EDUCATIONAL STAFF (Y)	77.87	6.50	.990	.973

The Shapiro–Wilk test produced p-values of .674 for educational management and .973 for teacher and educational staff quality. Both values exceed .05. Therefore, there was insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis of normality. The data were consequently considered sufficiently normally distributed for the subsequent parametric analysis.

Simple Linear Regression Analysis

Simple linear regression was performed to determine whether educational management significantly predicted the quality of teachers and educational staff.

The estimated regression equation was:

$$Y = 28.736 + 0.688X$$

The positive regression coefficient indicates that stronger educational management was associated with higher quality among teachers and educational staff.

Table 7. Regression Coefficients

PREDICTOR	B	SE	BETA	T	P
CONSTANT	28.736	4.945	—	5.811	<.001

EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT (X) | .688 .118 .686 5.815 <.001

The regression coefficient for educational management was $B = .688$, with a standardized coefficient of $\beta = .686$. The positive coefficient means that an increase in educational management scores was accompanied by an increase in the predicted quality score. The t-statistic was $t(38) = 5.815$, $p < .001$, indicating that educational management significantly predicted the quality of teachers and educational staff. Thus, the null hypothesis that educational management has no significant effect on teacher and educational staff quality was rejected.

Coefficient of Determination (R^2)

Table 8. Model Summary

R	R^2	ADJUSTED R^2	SE OF ESTIMATE
.686	.471	.457	4.79

The model produced $R = .686$ and $R^2 = .471$. Therefore, educational management statistically explained approximately 47.1% of the variance in the quality of teachers and educational staff. The remaining 52.9% may be associated with other factors that were not included in the present model, such as individual motivation, organizational climate, compensation, professional identity, workload, leadership style, training opportunities, infrastructure, and personal characteristics. The R^2 value should not be interpreted as indicating that educational management is the only determinant of teacher quality. Rather, it indicates the proportion of observed variance statistically associated with the predictor included in this specific regression model.

t-Test

The individual significance test showed that educational management had a statistically significant relationship with teacher and educational staff quality.

Table 9. Partial Significance Test

VARIABLE	T-VALUE	DF	P-VALUE	DECISION
EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT → QUALITY	5.815	38	<.001	Significant

The obtained t-value of 5.815 exceeded the critical value at the .05 significance level. The p-value was below .001, demonstrating strong statistical evidence that educational management was positively associated with the quality of teachers and educational staff. The standardized coefficient ($\beta = .686$) further indicates a relatively strong positive relationship. In practical terms, better planning, organization, implementation, supervision, and evaluation of educational programs were associated with better professional quality among teachers and educational staff.

F-Test

The overall significance of the regression model was evaluated using the F-test.

Table 10. ANOVA Regression Test

SOURCE	SS	DF	MS	F	P
REGRESSION	775.93	1	775.93	33.81	<.001
RESIDUAL	872.30	38	22.96	—	—
TOTAL	1,648.23	39	—	—	—

The analysis resulted in $F(1, 38) = 33.81, p < .001$. This indicates that the regression model was statistically significant. Accordingly, educational management significantly contributed to explaining variation in the quality of teachers and educational staff. The consistency between the F-test and t-test is expected in a simple linear regression model with one predictor because the relationship follows the identity $F = t^2$. In this analysis, 5.815^2 is approximately 33.81.

Discussion

The central finding of the study is that educational management has a positive and statistically significant effect on the quality of teachers and educational staff at Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa. The regression coefficient ($\beta = .686, p < .001$) indicates that educational management is an important organizational predictor of professional quality. This finding supports the theoretical proposition that effective educational organizations depend on systematic management of human resources. Educational management is not limited to administrative activities but includes planning, organizing, implementing, supervising, and evaluating programs directed toward institutional objectives (Bush, 2020). When these processes are implemented consistently, teachers and educational staff receive clearer expectations, more structured supervision, opportunities for professional development, and more systematic performance feedback.

The descriptive findings further indicate that the mean educational management score ($M = 71.39$) was lower than the mean quality score ($M = 77.87$). This pattern may suggest that the quality of teachers and educational staff is not determined exclusively by current managerial practices. Professional competence may also be influenced by accumulated experience, intrinsic motivation, educational qualifications, professional identity, collegial relationships, and individual commitment. The relatively strong regression coefficient suggests that management practices nevertheless have an important role in strengthening professional quality. Effective planning can clarify institutional priorities and allocate resources according to needs. Organizing can establish clear roles and responsibilities, reducing ambiguity in professional tasks. Implementation translates managerial plans into operational practices, while supervision provides continuous professional monitoring and support. Evaluation creates opportunities to identify performance gaps and formulate corrective actions.

The finding is consistent with contemporary perspectives on school leadership, which emphasize that leadership and management should create organizational conditions that enable teachers to perform effectively. Bush and Glover (2014) argue that school leadership models

influence organizational direction, professional collaboration, and school improvement. Similarly, Darling-Hammond (2017) emphasizes that teacher quality is strongly connected with professional preparation, continuous learning, and institutional support. The R^2 of .471 is particularly relevant to the interpretation of the study. Approximately 47.1% of the variance in teacher and educational staff quality was explained by educational management in the present model. This represents a substantial contribution in an educational setting where professional quality is multidimensional. However, the result simultaneously demonstrates that more than half of the variance remains unexplained. Therefore, a comprehensive model of professional quality should incorporate additional explanatory variables.

Potential variables include principal leadership, organizational climate, professional learning, workload, teacher motivation, psychological empowerment, compensation, technological competence, and organizational support. The finding therefore provides an empirical basis for future research using multiple regression, structural equation modeling, or multilevel models. The significant F-test, $F(1, 38) = 33.81, p < .001$, confirms that the regression model as a whole provides a statistically meaningful explanation of variation in teacher and educational staff quality. The result should, however, be interpreted as evidence of a significant statistical association rather than definitive causal proof because the research design is cross-sectional. A longitudinal or quasi-experimental design would provide stronger evidence regarding causal effects.

From a practical perspective, the results suggest that Madrasah Aliyah Darussiyafa should strengthen educational management through an integrated human-resource strategy. Management priorities should include systematic workforce planning, transparent division of responsibilities, continuous professional development, performance supervision, evidence-based evaluation, and feedback mechanisms. Rather than treating teacher and educational staff development as occasional administrative activities, professional development should be integrated into the institution's annual and strategic planning.

The findings also suggest that supervision should be developmental rather than merely evaluative. Regular feedback, mentoring, peer learning, and reflective professional activities can provide opportunities for teachers and educational staff to identify areas requiring improvement. Such practices may strengthen both individual performance and institutional learning. Overall, the quantitative evidence indicates that educational management represents an important organizational mechanism for improving teacher and educational staff quality. The positive coefficient, significant t-test, significant F-test, and R^2 of .471 collectively demonstrate that management practices are meaningfully associated with professional quality. Nevertheless, the unexplained variance reinforces the need for a broader model that considers leadership, organizational, professional, and individual factors simultaneously.

Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Table 11. Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Statistical Result	Decision
H1: Educational management significantly affects the quality of teachers and educational staff.	$\beta = .686$; $t = 5.815$; $p < .001$	Supported
Overall regression model is significant.	$F(1,38) = 33.81$; $p < .001$	Supported
Explained variance	$R^2 = .471$	47.1% explained

The results provide empirical support for the proposed hypothesis. Educational management significantly and positively predicts the quality of teachers and educational staff. The findings indicate that systematic management of educational resources and personnel can be an important institutional strategy for strengthening professional quality and supporting the achievement of educational goals.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that educational management plays an important role in improving the quality of teachers and educational staff at Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa, Buninagara, West Bandung Regency. Based on the quantitative analysis, the management of teachers and educational staff, including human resource planning, recruitment and selection, task allocation, professional development, supervision, performance evaluation, and the provision of recognition and workplace support, is positively associated with improvements in the quality of teachers and educational staff. These findings confirm that the quality of educational personnel is determined not only by individual competencies but also by the quality of the management system that organizes, develops, and continuously evaluates their performance. The linear regression results indicate that educational management has a positive and statistically significant effect on the quality of teachers and educational staff. Improvements in educational management are accompanied by improvements in the quality of teaching and educational personnel, particularly in terms of professional competence, work discipline, pedagogical performance, responsibility, collaboration, and commitment to institutional goals. The coefficient of determination also indicates that educational management makes a meaningful contribution to explaining variations in the quality of teachers and educational staff, although other factors outside the research model may also influence these outcomes.

Practically, Madrasah Aliyah Darussyifa should strengthen its systems for human resource planning, competency-based professional development, continuous supervision, performance appraisal, and transparent task allocation. School management should also foster an organizational culture that supports professional learning, collaborative practice, and continuous performance evaluation. Therefore, this study concludes that effective educational management is a strategic determinant of teacher and educational staff quality. Strengthening a well-planned, transparent,

participatory, and professionally oriented management system is essential for improving the quality of educational services and supporting the achievement of the madrasah's institutional goals.

References

- Bush, T. (2020). *Theories of educational leadership and management* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Bush, T., & Glover, D. (2014). School leadership models: What do we know? *School Leadership & Management*, 34(5), 553–571. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2014.928680>
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 291–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1315399>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2022). *Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using R: A workbook*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7>
- Harris, A. (2023). The importance of school leadership? What we know. *School Leadership & Management*, 43(5), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2023.2287806>
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Manullang, M. (2020). *Dasar-dasar manajemen*. Gadjah Mada University Press.
- OECD. (2020). *TALIS 2018 results (Volume II): Teachers and school leaders as valued professionals*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/19cf08df-en>
- OECD. (2024). *Education policy outlook 2024: Reshaping teaching into a profession for a changing world*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/dd5140e4-en>
- OECD. (2025). *Results from TALIS 2024: The state of teaching*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/90df6235-en>
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 4 Tahun 2022 tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 57 Tahun 2021 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan. (2022).
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 57 Tahun 2021 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan. (2021).
- Supardi. (2019). *Sekolah efektif: Konsep dasar dan praktiknya*. Rajawali Pers.
- Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in Science Education*, 48, 1273–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2>
- Wu, H., et al. (2022). The association between principal leadership and student achievement: A meta-meta-analysis. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211047564>