

(Journal of Legal Studies) E-ISSN: 2987-9965

Vol. 2, No. 1, Juli 2024

# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST PERPETRATORS OF DESTRUCTION OF TOURISM OBJECTS IN NORTH TORAJA

#### **Yogistry Pakita Salamba** Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar Email: yogispakita3@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The research aims to analyze the enforcement of sanctions against perpetrators of vandalism at tourist attractions in North Toraja and the obstacles to implementing sanctions in law enforcement against perpetrators of vandalism at tourist attractions based on Regional Regulation Number 7 of 2016. The research employed an empirical research method, using statutory and conceptual approaches. This research used primary and secondary data. Primary data was obtained through field research using interviews and observations, while secondary data was obtained through a literature study. The data obtained was then analyzed qualitatively and described to answer the research problem.

The research results show that the sanction enforcement of Regional Regulation Number 7 of 2016 concerning the Implementation of Tourism in North Toraja has not complied with these regulations. In particular, cases of destruction of Ke'te' Kesu' carried out by perpetrators that are imposed with customary punishment, it is marked by the large number of unresolved cases and weak enforcement of sanctions. Obstacles in implementing sanctions in law enforcement are caused primarily by delays in information to the Civil Service Police Unit resulting in initial administrative action before being handed over to the Prosecutor's Office. Another obstacle is the absence of a memorandum of understanding between the Police, Prosecutor's Office, and Court, as well as budget limitations which affect the capacity of the Civil Service Police Unit (Satpol PP) to protect tourists. A comprehensive approach is needed, including law enforcer training, interagency coordination, public legal education, and review of Regional Regulations to improve the fairness and appropriateness of sanctions.

Keywords: Sanction enforcement, tourism destruction, tourist attractions, community

#### Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis Bagaimana penegakan sanksi terhadap pelaku pengrusakan objek wisata di Toraja Utara dan untuk menganalisis kendala penerapan sanksi dalam penegakan hukum terhadap pelaku pengrusakan objek wisata berdasarkan Peraturan Daerah Nomor 7 Tahun 2016. Metode Penelitian yang digunakan adalah jenis penelitian Empiris dengan meggunakan pendekatan Perundang-Undang, pendekatan Konseptual. Penelitian yang menggunakan data primer dan sekunder dari perpustakaan dan dukungan berdasarkan Penelitian lapangan, seperti observasi, wawancara. Analisis data berdasarkan penelitian deskriptif dan kualitatif ialah suatu Penelitian yang ditujukan untuk mendeksripsikan fenomena-fenomena buatan manusia dengan merumuskan dari fakta yang diamati. Hasil Penelitian ini penegakan sanksi Peraturan Daerah Nomor 7 tahun 2016 tentang penyelenggaraan pariwisata di Toraja Utara menunjukkan belum sesuainya peraturan tersebut, Khususnya, dalam kasus pengrusakan Ke'te' kesu' yang dilakukan oleh pelaku yang dikenakan sanksi adat, ditandai dengan banyaknya kasus yang belum terselesaikan dan lemahnya penegakan sanksi. Kendala penerapan sanksi dalam penegakan hukum terutama keterlambatan informasi kepada Satuan Polisi Pamog Praja menyebabkan tindakan administratif awal sebelum diserahkan kepada Kejaksaan. Kendalanya antara lain belum adanya nota kesepahaman (MoU) antara Kepolisian, Kejaksaan, dan Pengadilan, serta keterbatasan anggaran yang mempengaruhi kapasitas Satpol PP dalam melindungi wisatawan. Diperlukan pendekatan yang komprehensif, yang mencakup pelatihan penegakan hukum, koordinasi antar lembaga, pendidikan hukum publik, dan peninjauan Peraturan Daerah untuk meningkatkan keadilan dan kesesuaian sanksi.

# *Kata kunci*: Penegakan sanksi, pengrusakan pariwisata, objek wisata, masyarakat **INTRODUCTION**

Indonesia, a country rich in diverse cultures and attractive destinations, has shown significant development in its tourism industry (Damanik & Yusuf, 2022; Liu dkk., 2020; Mayuzumi, 2022). According to the World Travel and Tourism Council (WTTC) report, Indonesia ranks ninth out of 30 countries, surpassing developed nations like Malaysia, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, and Australia (Organization of Islamic Cooperation, 2022). This rapid progress in Indonesia's tourism sector has been recognized through awards from various international organizations. In a global context, John Naisbitt, in his book "Global Paradox," states that tourism is the world's largest industry, playing a significant role in the global economy. It employs 240 million people, about 10.6% of the global workforce, and contributes 10.2% to the world's gross national product. Naisbitt emphasizes that in the era of globalization, the more universal we become, the more we must maintain and highlight our unique cultural identity to attract tourists (Organization of Islamic Cooperation, 2022).

Tourism in Indonesia focuses on natural beauty and preserving and respecting cultural and historical values.(Purnamawati dkk., 2022) A specific example is the customs in North Toraja, where locals honour their ancestors through funeral ceremonies and traditional rituals, including Ma'nene, a tradition of cleaning and changing the clothes of mummies.(Tsintjilonis, 2000) Then there's the art and culture tourism, like weaving traditional fabrics and carvings in Ke'te Kesu', and various festivals and traditional ceremonies such as the Toraja International Festival and Rambu Solo'.(Adams, 1997) Agro-tourism is also highlighted, including Lolai agro-tourism and the traditional Ke'te' Kesu' houses (Nurfaida dkk., 2019).

Unfortunately, the management of tourist sites in North Toraja faces various challenges. Weak law enforcement and inconsistency in implementing regulations affect the development and management of tourist areas (Syam dkk., 2021; Tacconi dkk., 2019; Wijatmoko dkk., 2023). Violations are frequent, and the low legal sanctions allow these violations to continue. Incidents of vandalism, such as in Ke'te Kesu' and Londa, often occur, including damaging or moving bones and skulls, which are essential to the Toraja

cultural heritage. Therefore, the North Toraja Regional Government enacted Regional Regulation No. 7 of 2016 on Tourism Management, including criminal sanctions for unlawful acts.(Pemerintah Daerah, 2016) However, the realization that law enforcement still needs to be more effective necessitates improvements in monitoring mechanisms and heavier sanctions. Thus, to achieve sustainable tourism development, the current generation managing cultural tourism must recognize their responsibility for the present and future sustainability.

Thus, development in the field of tourism law is an effort to develop and utilize the objects and attractions of a region in the form of unique natural and cultural characteristics through a process that systematically and rationally prepares all tourism activities to achieve specific goals by allocating all available resources effectively and efficiently. Several studies have examined aspects related to Violations against Tourist Objects, such as the studies by Fourie, highlighting the importance of security and legal regulation in the tourism sector, especially at the Ke'te' Kesu' tourist site. We focus on improving the effectiveness of the law to reduce violations, similar to how previous research has emphasized the need for security and tourist perceptions in influencing tourism (Lestawi & Bunga, 2020). Additionally, we integrate views on the importance of local laws and norms in preserving tourist sites, using an approach similar to the research (Lestawi & Bunga, 2020). However, our research offers a new perspective, specifically focusing on law enforcement to protect Ke'te' Kesu'. This differs from previous studies that are more general or do not directly address cultural heritage and religious beliefs. We also expand insights on how law can be optimized in the specific context of tourist sites while incorporating Toraja cultural values and the role of customary law, offering a unique perspective not extensively explored in previous research.

Therefore, this study aims to analyze the effectiveness of enforcing sanctions against perpetrators of vandalism at tourist sites in North Toraja according to regional regulations and to analyze the obstacles in applying sanctions in law enforcement against perpetrators of vandalism at tourist sites in North Toraja. This study significantly contributes to understanding the effectiveness of enforcing sanctions against perpetrators of vandalism in North Toraja. By analyzing compliance with regional regulations, this study provides a solid foundation for assessing the success of the legal system in protecting and preserving tourist sites. Moreover, exploring the obstacles in implementing sanctions offers valuable insights for authorities and local governments to improve and optimize law enforcement mechanisms. The results of this study can guide policymakers in designing more efficient and effective law enforcement strategies to maintain the sustainability and security of tourist sites in North Toraja. They can contribute more broadly to enhancing the overall quality of the tourism sector.

### METHOD

This study uses an Empirical Law method, focusing on legal principles, synchronization, and systematics related to the Regional Regulation. The focus is on Law Enforcement Against Perpetrators of Vandalism at Tourist Sites in North Toraja based on Regional

Regulation Number 7 of 2016 on Tourism Management (Pemerintah Daerah, 2016). The Empirical Law approach was chosen because the primary concern lies in enforcing the law against these perpetrators and the efforts made by the Pamong Praja as enforcers of the regulation. The approach used includes conceptual, legislative, and case studies. The research was conducted in several locations in North Toraja Regency, including the Tourism Office, the Bapenda Office, Sattpol PP, and Ke'te Kesu'. These locations, which are tourist attractions and historical sites, are essential in the context of increasing crime in tourist areas. Data for this study comes from two types: primary (direct) data obtained through interviews, observations, and documentation of various behaviours, and secondary data, including data, books, internet sources, and relevant legislation. Secondary data and legal materials were obtained from library sources and interviews. The library involved collecting data, citations, and understanding literature and legal rules. Interviews were conducted to deepen understanding of the issues, including criminal acts, sanctions, civil servants, and investigators. The collected data will be systematically organized and analyzed descriptively and qualitatively within the empirical legal framework. This analysis aims to understand the observed phenomena deeply, seek their values and formulate generalizations from the observed facts. This process uses an inductive approach to identify common values from various facts.

### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

# **Enforcement of Sanctions for Vandalism of Tourist Attractions in North Toraja is by Regional Regulations**

#### 1. Sanction Enforcement

Sanctions represent customary norms that must be adhered to wherever one may be. Each region has rules that must be respected and implemented to establish a legal relationship, ensuring that individuals live by the existing laws and sanctions. Administrative sanctions can be applied externally and internally. External cumulation is an administrative sanction applied together with other sanctions, such as criminal or civil sanctions. Internal cumulation is when two or more administrative sanctions can be applied together. Sanction cumulation essentially relates to the balance of sanctions. (Hadjon, 1987) In other words, sanctions must be balanced about the severity of the violation. In North Toraja, the legal system operates harmoniously with the local customary practices. Minor offences within the realm of tradition are resolved using customary law, while severe offences like drug-related crimes, arson, and murder are subject to positive law.(Sumule, 2023)

2. Management of Tourist Attractions in North Toraja

The management of tourist attractions in North Toraja contributes significantly to the development and progress of these attractions, generating income for the region's overall development. Tourism development considers diversity, uniqueness, culture, nature, and human needs. Strategic collaboration between the local government, community, and private sector is essential for the rapid development of tourist attractions. Regional Regulation No. 56 of 2012 regulates revenue distribution from retribution collection

concerning the Procedures for Collection of Recreational and Sports Place Retributions.(Bupati Toraja Utara, 2012)

NO TOURIST ATTRACTION **DIVISION RESULTS** MANAGER Regional ATTRACTION Government MANAGER 1 Foundation 40% 60% 2 **NON-FOUNDATION** 40% 60%

**Table.** Distribution of Retribution Collection Results

(Source: Department of Tourism and Culture of North Toraja)

The allocation of fees for Recreation and Sports venues is determined as follows:

a. Local Government: 25% of the total cost owed

b. Organizer: 75% of the total price owed

North Toraja, renowned as a tourist destination after Bali, boasts panoramic views, rich culture, and historical heritage, attracting visitors and contributing significantly to the local economy. The government actively promotes investment, coordinates with central and provincial authorities, and gathers data on both domestic and international tourists visiting North Toraja.(Parubak, 2023)

**Table.** Tourist Visits to North Toraja from 2018 to 2022

J			
NO	YEAR	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
		VISITS	(%)
1	2018	256907	23,65 %
2	2019	333166	30,67 %
3	2020	109999	10,13 %
4	2021	124231	11,44 %
5	2022	261806	24,11 %
TOTAL		1086109	100 %

(Source: Department of Culture and Tourism of North Toraja).

3. Vandalism of Ke'te' Kesu' Tourist Attraction

Ke'te' Kesu' is one of the traditional villages in Bonoran, Tikunna Malenong Village, Sanggali District, North Toraja Regency.(Wahab dkk., 2018) However, Ke'te' Kesu' is not just an ordinary tourist destination; it is a cultural heritage area and serves as the burial ground for nobles and ancestors of the Toraja ethnic group, with remnants of historical artefacts dating back hundreds of years.



## Figure. Ke'te' Kesu' Toraja Village

In Law No. 11 of 2010 concerning cultural heritage, cultural heritage is defined as cultural legacies in the form of cultural objects, structures, cultural sites, and cultural areas on land and water that need to be preserved due to their significant value for history, science, education, religion, and culture through a designation process.(Pemerintah Pusat, 2010) Only some tourist sites in North Toraja can be considered cultural heritage sites, as they may not be registered and officially recognized by the Ministry of Tourism and Culture.(Pemerintah Pusat, 2010) Coordination with provincial and central authorities regulating cultural heritage is required, and adherence to the criteria is essential. According to Article 5 of the Cultural Heritage Law, objects, buildings, or structures can be proposed as cultural objects, facilities, or structural heritage if they meet the following criteria: (Pemerintah Pusat, 2010)

- a. Aged 50 years or more.
- b. Represent the shortest historical period of at least 50 years.
- c. Hold special significance for history, science, education, religion, and/or culture.
- d. Have cultural value for strengthening the nation's identity.

Therefore, conservation efforts aim to protect, develop, and utilize cultural heritage. This means that conservation efforts need to consider a balance between academic, ideological, and economic interests. Destruction, as stipulated in Law No. 11 of 2010 concerning cultural heritage, is classified as a crime.(Pemerintah Pusat, 2010) The Legal Code Law No. 11 of 2010 Article 66 Paragraph (1) states that any person is prohibited from damaging cultural heritage, either in its entirety or in part, from a unit, group, and its original location.(Pemerintah Pusat, 2010) Regarding criminal sanctions for perpetrators of public facility destruction under Criminal Law, especially the implementation of Article 105 (1) of Law No. 11 of 2010 concerning cultural heritage, it is determined that any person who intentionally damages cultural heritage as referred to in Article 66 Paragraph (1) is punishable by imprisonment for a minimum of 1 (one) year and a maximum of 15 (fifteen) years and a fine of at least IDR 500,000,000.00 (five hundred million rupiahs) and a maximum of IDR 5,000,000,000.00 (five billion rupiahs) (Pemerintah Pusat, 2010).

# The challenges of implementing sanctions in law enforcement against perpetrators of vandalism to tourist attractions in North Toraja Regency.

The challenge of implementing sanctions in law enforcement against perpetrators damaging tourist attractions in North Toraja Regency lies fundamentally in criminal sanctions based on local regulations. This is possible and aligns with legislation on regional governance. Violations in Ke'te' Kesu' involve domestic tourists visiting the registered cultural heritage site accredited by the Ministry of Culture and Tourism. They engage in the unauthorized removal of bones and skulls for photo purposes, unaware that such mystical objects are not to be touched or used as props for photography. In response, the owners/foundations of Ke'te' Kesu' impose traditional sanctions on the offenders. Given that the perpetrators are students unfamiliar with these rules, they are promptly summoned by traditional leaders to be held accountable, following the customary practices of the North Toraja community (Sarungallo, 2023).

## Case Analysis of Vandalism at North Toraja Tourist Site

a. Case Background

Two young men faced traditional sanctions from the owner of the Ke'te' Kesu' foundation in North Toraja after one of them engaged in the disrespectful act of stepping on a skull within the compound of the ancient cemetery, a tourist site managed by the Ke'te' Kesu' foundation. According to Layuk Sarungallo, a traditional leader, the incident became viral on social media, depicting a woman identified as R holding a skull while her friend, identified as Ry, posed with a foot on the skull, seemingly about to step on it. Another photo shows the skull holder holding bones and mimicking the guitar playing. When the incident went viral, the individuals sought protection and surrendered to the Panakukang police station on Saturday, March 24, 2018.



**Figure.** Erong Coffin at Toraja Cemetery b. Imposed Traditional Sanctions

After securing themselves at the police station, the two perpetrators were brought to the Ke'te' Kesu' Tourist Site. They underwent a traditional trial conducted by the local foundation owner. They received a mild traditional punishment involving a fine and the obligation to sacrifice a pig to apologise to the Toraja ancestors. The two individuals performed a ritual known as "mengkasala," seeking forgiveness at the scene by bringing betel leaves, lime, gambier, and tobacco. These items were placed at the location where

they posed while seeking forgiveness, guided by the Ke'te' Kesu' traditional leader. Additionally, the perpetrators would participate in the pig sacrifice ceremony, known as "mangrambulangi," as an acknowledgement of their wrongdoing and an apology to the ancestral spirits. (Ramba dkk., 2018)

c. Article Violated

Vandalism in Regional Regulations is classified as a crime, as stated in Regional Regulation Number 7 of 2016 (Pemerintah Daerah, 2016)This is a development in the legal field. Regarding criminal sanctions for perpetrators of public facility vandalism, according to Criminal Provisions, especially the application of Article 50 (1) of the Regional Regulation Code, it is stipulated that:

- a. Anyone or business entity violating the provisions under Article 46 shall be punished with imprisonment for a maximum of 6 (six) months or a fine of up to IDR 50,000,000.00 (fifty million Indonesian rupiahs).
- b. The criminal act referred to in paragraph (1) is an offence.
- c. The fine mentioned in paragraph (1) is state revenue.

The application of sanctions must adhere to the provision that the maximum fine is 50 million, and the maximum imprisonment is six months. The application of imprisonment penalties, regulated in regional regulations throughout Indonesia, is anticipated in the transitional provisions of the Criminal Code (RKUHP). Based on Article 77 of the RKUHP, when it is enacted, all imprisonment threats outside the RKUHP are replaced with imprisonment or fines with the provisions; the threat of imprisonment for 6 (six) months is replaced with a fine category I and the threat of imprisonment for 6 (six) months or more is replaced with a fine category II. The implementation of sanctions, including criminal sanctions in Regional Regulations, rarely occurs. The indifference of regional governments to violations of Regional Regulations is a common phenomenon. Regional Police units are powerless to enforce the law against mass violations. In turn, the National Police strengthen law enforcement, sometimes assisted by the Indonesian National Defense Forces in certain cases. As a general overview, the facilities and infrastructure in enforcing Regional Regulations and the facilities and infrastructure created by local and regional governments are established to prevent damage to tourist attractions, but incidents of vandalism are still common. The level of legal awareness among tourists and the community to comply with regional regulations is limited. With various rational and irrational problems, they do not feel they are violating Regional Regulations. The current implementation of imprisonment penalties in Indonesia is indeed acknowledged to be far from adequate. Therefore, in some cases, the application of imprisonment penalties no longer differs from regular imprisonment penalties. However, due to the weakness of the implementation system, we should not justify that imprisonment is a form of harsh punishment and then eliminate the criminal law system in Indonesia. If we look at the bigger picture, imprisonment is the mildest form of punishment. An implementation that is far from a repressive nature should be in line with the decolonial nature as we aspire to grow in the RKUHP.

### **Challenges in Implementing Sanctions on Tourist Attractions in North Toraja**

The challenges in applying sanctions for the destruction of tourist attractions in North Toraja, specifically in the traditional village of Bonoran, Tikunna Malenong Village, Sanggali District, the tourist attraction of Ke'te' Kesu', which has been designated as a cultural heritage site requiring preservation, are still owned by the Ke'te' Kesu' foundation. Therefore, every case in North Toraja that can be resolved through customary law must be settled using customary law unless a serious violation occurs, addressed through positive or written law. Challenges stemming from the legal structure include internal issues within the law enforcement apparatus, such as:

- a. Facilities and Infrastructure. The challenges faced by the Regional Revenue Office (Bapenda) and the Municipal Police include more communication tools, transportation, and adequate guarding facilities. This results in slower information dissemination compared to the frequency of tasks, given the distant locations of various tourist attractions.
- b. Limited Enforcement Personnel for Local Regulations. The insufficient number of Regional Police Personnel, approximately 350, including fire department personnel, is inadequate for the vast territory of North Toraja. This inadequacy hampers the ability of law enforcement to address violations of local regulations in North Toraja, which is rich in tourist attractions and cultural traditions.
- c. Management of Tourist Attractions Still Owned by Foundations. Implementing sanctions for destroying tourist attractions in North Toraja cannot be carried out according to Regional Government regulations, as many foundations still need to be attractions. This necessitates reporting to the foundation before any legal action can be taken. The severe violation may involve customary law or be handed over to the Regional Revenue Office.
- d. Registration of Tourist Attractions. Some tourist attractions and cultural heritage sites must be registered with the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, making it difficult for the Regional Government to detect new tourist attractions.
- e. Limited Human Resources. The lack of knowledge of law enforcement by the Department of Tourism and Regional Revenue Office personnel makes it necessary to provide special training to handle criminal activities at each tourist attraction. Both departments also conduct public awareness campaigns to educate tourists and the local community about the need to protect these historical and cultural sites, emphasizing compliance with existing regulations. Municipal Police must enforce conflicting rules from local laws and take legal action against violators.

Challenges faced by the Municipal Police Unit in applying sanctions for the destruction of tourist attractions in North Toraja include:

a. Coordination for Law Enforcement. Pamong Praja awaits approval from the Police, Prosecutor's Office, and Court, delaying their ability to address violations of local regulations in North Toraja.

b. Budget Constraints. Adequate budget allocation from the local government for guarding vulnerable tourist attractions needs to be improved, and coordination efforts focus on encouraging attraction owners to maintain security. Members of the Municipal Police have been stationed at the Lolai tourist attraction (Land Above the Clouds) upon the request of the tourism management, despite the need for sufficient funding from the local government.

In implementing legal sanctions against perpetrators of tourist attraction destruction in North Toraja, difficulties arise in applying criminal law sanctions. The application of criminal law sanctions for the destruction of tourist attractions is still not given sufficient attention due to the cultural belief that such activities contribute to their livelihoods and economic improvement, leading to a laissez-faire attitude towards acts of destruction at tourist attractions.

In addressing the challenges faced by every perpetrator of vandalism at tourist attractions, the following steps can be taken:

- a. Preventive Measures: Preventive steps against the vandalism of tourist attractions are crucial to reduce, eliminate, and eradicate behaviours that pose problems for the Tourism and Revenue Office. Prevention activities are carried out through the socialization of tourists, providing knowledge that vandalism of tourist attractions is subject to local regulations, customs, and laws. This aims to create awareness among tourists about the existing penalties.
- b. Supervision: To prevent vandalism of tourist attractions, the Tourism Office collaborates with local community leaders and the owners of tourism-related foundations to supervise local and international tourists. Notifications are conveyed to local tourism officials. Therefore, it is necessary to have surveillance with the deployment of local police officers to monitor every visit to specific tourist destinations. Prompt legal action can be taken against the perpetrators in the event of legal violations.
- c. Repressive Measures: Handling perpetrators of vandalism in North Toraja is hindered by the lack of seriousness and firmness from every element involved. In enforcing these legal regulations, tourism development in North Toraja has shown that severe vandalism has not deterred visitors, leading to normalizing such behaviour. The measures taken so far have not delved into the legal process against the perpetrators. Despite being regulated in Regional Regulation Number 7 of 2016 (Pemerintah Daerah, 2016). and Cultural Heritage Law Number 11 of 2010, vandalism cases are frequently violated. Therefore, authorities must prevent and eradicate such actions for legal supremacy.

Repressive measures, such as applying criminal sanctions, are needed to address perpetrators of vandalism. Concrete steps that can be taken include taking legal action against the perpetrators of vandalism. This sends a deterrent effect to the perpetrators so that the repressive application of criminal law can reduce vandalism incidents in North Toraja.

## CONCLUSIONS

This research has analyzed the effectiveness of enforcing sanctions against perpetrators of tourist site vandalism in North Toraja about local regulations. The results indicate that the applied sanctions align with the prevailing local regulations, particularly in integrating customary and positive law. The use of expected sanctions alongside the application of positive law in specific cases, such as vandalism at the Ke'te' Kesu' tourist site, has reflected the harmonization between customary norms and formal law. On one hand, this demonstrates respect for local cultural values, while on the other hand, it enforces legal rules more broadly. However, the research also identifies several challenges in implementing these sanctions. These challenges include limited human and facility resources, lack of coordination among law enforcement agencies, and low legal awareness in the community. Weaknesses in the law enforcement system, both in customary and positive law applications, often hinder the effective enforcement of sanctions. Based on these findings, recommendations for further research include developing more comprehensive strategies to address these challenges. This may involve enhancing the capacity and training of law enforcement officers, improving coordination among institutions, and conducting legal education campaigns to raise public awareness. Additionally, it is crucial to evaluate and revise local regulations if necessary to ensure that the applied sanctions are fair, in line with local needs and effective in preventing and responding to tourist site vandalism.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adams, K. M. (1997). Ethnic tourism and the renegotiation of tradition in Tana Toraja (Sulawesi, Indonesia). *Ethnology*, *36*(4), 309–320. https://doi.org/10.2307/3774040

Bupati Toraja Utara. (2012). *Peraturan Bupati Toraja Utara Nomor 56 Tahun 2012* (hlm. 1–12).

Damanik, J., & Yusuf, M. (2022). Effects of perceived value, expectation, visitor management, and visitor satisfaction on revisit intention to Borobudur Temple, Indonesia. *Journal of Heritage Tourism*, *17*(2), 174–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/1743873X.2021.1950164

Hadjon, P. (1987). Perlindungan hukum bagi rakyat di Indonesia. Bina Ilmu.

Lestawi, N., & Bunga, D. (2020). The role of customary law in forest preservation in Bali. Journal of Landscape Ecology(Czech Republic), 13(1), 25–41. https://doi.org/10.2478/jlecol-2020-0002

Liu, Y., Chin, W. L., Nechita, F., & Candrea, A. N. (2020). Framing film-induced tourism into a sustainable perspective from Romania, Indonesia and Malaysia. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), *12*(23), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12239910

Mayuzumi, Y. (2022). Is meeting the needs of tourists through ethnic tourism sustainable? Focus on Bali, Indonesia. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Regional Science*, *6*(1), 423–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41685-021-00198-4

Nurfaida, Arifin, H. S., Sitorus, S. R. P., & Eriyatno, A. (2019). Assessing scenic beauty of culture-based landscapes in North Toraja Regency. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *399*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/399/1/012040

Organization of Islamic Cooperation. (2022). International Tourism in the OIC Countries.

Parubak, Y. (2023). Interview with Yuith Parubak, Value Preservation and Cultural Promotion Sector, 21 June 2023.

Pemerintah Daerah. (2016). Peraturan Daerah (PERDA) Kabupaten Tana Toraja Nomor 7 Tahun 2016 tentang Perlindungan dan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup.

Pemerintah Pusat. (2010). Undang-undang (UU) Nomor 11 Tahun 2010 tentang Cagar Budaya (hlm. 1–77).

Purnamawati, I. G. A., Jie, F., & Hatane, S. E. (2022). Cultural Change Shapes the Sustainable Development of Religious Ecotourism Villages in Bali, Indonesia. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(12), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127368

Ramba, D. N., M, A. F., & Yuliar, S. (2018). Exploring The Controversy Between Tana Toraja's Government And Local Tribes In A Case Study Of Ke'te Kesu's Cemetery Tourism Based On A Normative Ethics Point Of View. *Journal of Social and Economics Research*, 2(2), 61–68.

Sarungallo, L. (2023). Interview with Layuk Sarungallo, Chair of the Ke'te' Kesu' Foundation, 22 June 2023.

Sumule, Y. (2023). Interview with Yunus Sumule: PLT Bapenda North Toraja (21 June 2023).

Syam, M., Ismansyah, I., Azheri, B., & Hasbi, M. (2021). Consumer protection enforcement law characteristics on civil law aspects in Indonesia. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S2), 1471–1481. https://doi.org/10.21744/lingcure.v5ns2.1976

Tacconi, L., Rodrigues, R. J., & Maryudi, A. (2019). Law enforcement and deforestation: Lessons for Indonesia from Brazil. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *108*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.05.029

Tsintjilonis, D. (2000). Death and the sacrifice of signs: "Measuring" the dead in Tana Toraja. *Oceania*, 71(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1834-4461.2000.tb02720.x

Wahab, M. R. A., Zakaria, R. M. A., Hadrawi, M., & Ramli, Z. (2018). Selected Topics on Archaeology, History and Culture in the Malay World. Dalam *Springer*. Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5669-7

Wijatmoko, E., Armawi, A., & Fathani, T. F. (2023). Legal effectiveness in promoting development policies: A case study of North Aceh Indonesia. *Heliyon*, 9(11), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21280